

Alexandria, VA 22320

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,816	02/19/2002	Mikito Nishii	111970 2904	
759	90 04/27/2006		EXAM	INER
Oliff & Berridge			HARDEE, JOHN R	
PO Box 19928			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1751 DATE MAILED: 04/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/049,816	NISHII ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John R. Hardee	1751			
<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication app</li> <li>Period for Reply</li> </ul>	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address -			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin  11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  12 cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·			
·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
<i>,</i>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 2, 8, 10, 12-18 and 20 is/are pending	in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15,17 and 20</u> is/are w		•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 2,8,10,12-14,16 and 18 is/are rejected	I.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	<del></del> -			
application from the International Bureau		-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
		·			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate latent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11282005</u> .	6)  Other:	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			

Art Unit: 1751

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 16, 2006 has been entered.

### Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant is reminded that a restriction requirement remains in effect. Claims 15, 17 and 20 remain withdrawn from consideration by the examiner as being drawn to inventions non-elected with traverse. The restriction was made final in a previous office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 2, 8, 10, 12-14, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to

Art Unit: 1751

reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection. The examiner cannot find basis in the specification or the original claims for the newly added limitations on percentages of phosphoric acid compounds and organophosphoric acid compounds. The conductivity limitation, which appears to be an essential part of applicant's invention, is no longer recited. This broadens the claims beyond what is reasonably enabled by the specification. Regarding claim 14, applicant takes the position that "deoxidization" is synonymous with reduction (Interview, April 18, 2006). Exactly what has been reduced? Is it the glycol, the water, or an additive? What is the product of the reduction—an alcohol, an alkane, an alkene? Hydrogen? Once the reduction has taken place, a chemically different coolant would appear to be present, in which case claim 14 would no longer further limit the independent claim. Is that what applicant had in mind?

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 8, 10, 12-14, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant claims the presence of a certain amount of a phosphoric acid compound and an organophosphoric acid compound. However, organophosphoric acid compounds *are* phosphoric acid compounds, and the converse can apply as well. This double inclusion makes it impossible to determine just what percentages of phosphoric and organophosphoric

Art Unit: 1751

acid compounds applicant means to recite. The percentage limitations on these compounds are repeated, suggesting that something else was intended in the second iteration. Regarding phosphoric acids and organophosphoric acids, what compounds does applicant have in mind? The only organophosphoric acid mentioned is phosphonoic acid, which the examiner cannot find in the CAS database. At lines 19-20 of p. 7 of the specification, applicant appears to be saying that orthophosphoric acid is an organophosphoric acid, which it is not.

- 6. Between the questionable definitions and the questionable percentage limitations, the examiner cannot perform a complete search of the claims. However, applicant appears to have overcome the prior art cited previously.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner, Dr. John R. Hardee, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 until 4:30. In the event that the examiner is not available, his supervisor, Mr. Douglas McGinty, may be reached at (571) 272-1029.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 1751

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John R. Hardee

**Primary Examiner** 

April 20, 2006